

Linnaeus University

Sweden

Degree Project

The differences between SSD and HDD technology regarding forensic investigations



Author: Florian Geier Supervisor: Ola Flygt

Examiner: Johan Hagelbäck

Semester: VT 2015

Subject: Computer Science

Abstract

In the past years solid state disks have developed drastically and are now gaining increased popularity compared to conventional hard drives. While hard disk drives work predictable, transparent SSD routines work in the background without the user's knowledge.

This work describes the changes to the everyday life for forensic specialists; a forensic investigation includes data recovery and the gathering of a digital image of each acquired memory that provides proof of integrity through a checksum. Due to the internal routines, which cannot be stopped, checksums are falsified. Therefore the images cannot prove integrity of evidence anymore. The report proves the inconsistence of checksums of SSD and shows the differences in data recovery through high recovery rates on hard disk drives while SSD drives scored no recovery or very poor rates.

Preface

As a computer science student I specialized in network security and digital forensics and am always interested in the newest technology. I came across the video of Scott Moulton and his speech at DEFCON in Las Vegas, "Solid State Drives Destroy Forensic & Data Recovery Jobs" which sparked my interest in the topic SSD drives and data recovery. It surprised me that there was not much documentation and even less test cases to be found when I first researched the problem which led me to the idea of conducting tests myself. This works aim is to fill this gap and to encourage further testing and research.

Table of Contents

| Abs | tract | i |
|-----|--|----|
| Pre | ace | ii |
| Tab | le of Contentsi | ii |
| 1. | Introduction | 1 |
| | 1.1. Background | 1 |
| | 1.2. Problem discussion | 1 |
| | 1.3. Purpose | 1 |
| | 1.4. Previous research | 2 |
| | 1.5. Research questions | 2 |
| | 1.6. Hypotheses | 3 |
| | 1.7. Methodology | 3 |
| | 1.7.1. TRIM | 4 |
| | 1.7.2. Garbage collection | 4 |
| | 1.7.3. Erasing patterns | 4 |
| | 1.7.4. Wear leveling | 4 |
| | 1.8. Outline of the report | |
| | 1.9. Scope and limitations | 5 |
| | 1.10. Ethics and social impacts | 6 |
| 2. | Literature review | 7 |
| | 2.1. Hard disk drives throughout history | 7 |
| | 2.2. The architecture of flash and hard disk drives: | 9 |
| | 2.2.1. The architecture of hard disc drives | 9 |
| | 2.2.2. Arrangement of data on the hard disks | 1 |
| | 2.2.3. The architecture of flash memory | 3 |
| | 2.2.4. NAND flash memory | 3 |
| | 2.2.5. Memory Controller of a flash memory drive | 5 |
| | 2.2.6. SSD memory controller1 | 5 |
| | 2.2.7. SandForce | 6 |
| | 2.2.8. TRIM | |
| | 2.2.9. Wear Leveling 1 | 7 |
| | 2.2.10. Garbage Collection | |
| | 2.2.11. Applications of flash memories 1 | 9 |
| | 2.2.12. Hybrid applications2 | 1 |

Click here to download full PDF material